



Universidad Nacional de Colombia (UNAL) Sede
Manizales
Undergraduate Program in
Information Systems
SILABO

CS3P3. Internet of Things (Mandatory)

2022-II

1. General information	
1.1 School	: Sistemas de Información
1.2 Course	: CS3P3. Internet of Things
1.3 Semester	: 10 ^{mo} Semestre.
1.4 Prerequisites	: CS3P1. Parallel and Distributed Computing . (8 th Sem)
1.5 Type of course	: Mandatory
1.6 Learning modality	: Face to face
1.7 Horas	: 1 HT; 2 HP; 2 HL;
1.8 Credits	: 3
2. Professors	
3. Course foundation	
The last decade has an explosive growth in multiprocessor computing, including multi-core processors and distributed data centers. As a result, parallel and distributed computing has evolved from a broadly elective subject to be one of the major components in mesh studies in undergraduate computer science. Both parallel computing and distribution involve the simultaneous execution of multiple processes on different devices that change position.	
4. Summary	
1. Parallelism Fundamentals 2. Parallel Architecture 3. Parallel Decomposition 4. Communication and Coordination 5. Parallel Algorithms, Analysis, and Programming 6. Parallel Performance	
5. Generales Goals	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• That the student is able to create parallel applications of medium complexity by efficiently taking advantage of different mobile devices.	
6. Contribution to Outcomes	
This discipline contributes to the achievement of the following outcomes: Nooutcomes	
7. Content	

UNIT 1: Parallelism Fundamentals (18)	
Competences:	
Content	Generales Goals
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple simultaneous computations • Goals of parallelism (e.g., throughput) versus concurrency (e.g., controlling access to shared resources) • Parallelism, communication, and coordination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Parallelism, communication, and coordination – Need for synchronization • Programming errors not found in sequential programming <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Data races (simultaneous read/write or write/write of shared state) – Higher-level races (interleavings violating program intention, undesired non-determinism) – Lack of liveness/progress (deadlock, starvation) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distinguish using computational resources for a faster answer from managing efficient access to a shared resource [Familiarity] • Distinguish multiple sufficient programming constructs for synchronization that may be inter-implementable but have complementary advantages [Familiarity] • Distinguish data races from higher level races [Familiarity]
Readings: Pacheco (2011), Matloff (2014), Quinn (2003)	

UNIT 2: Parallel Architecture (12)	
Competences:	
Content	Generales Goals
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multicore processors • Shared vs distributed memory • Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) • SIMD, vector processing • GPU, co-processing • Flynn’s taxonomy • Instruction level support for parallel programming <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Atomic instructions such as Compare and Set • Memory issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Multiprocessor caches and cache coherence – Non-uniform memory access (NUMA) • Topologies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Interconnects – Clusters – Resource sharing (e.g., buses and interconnects) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain the differences between shared and distributed memory [Assessment] • Describe the SMP architecture and note its key features [Assessment] • Characterize the kinds of tasks that are a natural match for SIMD machines [Usage] • Describe the advantages and limitations of GPUs vs CPUs [Usage] • Explain the features of each classification in Flynn’s taxonomy [Usage] • Describe the challenges in maintaining cache coherence [Familiarity] • Describe the key performance challenges in different memory and distributed system topologies [Familiarity]
Readings: Pacheco (2011), Kirk and Hwu (2013), Sanders and Kandrot (2010)	

UNIT 3: Parallel Decomposition (18)	
Competences:	
Content	Generales Goals
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for communication and coordination/synchronization • Independence and partitioning • Basic knowledge of parallel decomposition concept • Task-based decomposition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Implementation strategies such as threads • Data-parallel decomposition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Strategies such as SIMD and MapReduce • Actors and reactive processes (e.g., request handlers) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain why synchronization is necessary in a specific parallel program [Usage] • Identify opportunities to partition a serial program into independent parallel modules [Familiarity] • Write a correct and scalable parallel algorithm [Usage] • Parallelize an algorithm by applying task-based decomposition [Usage] • Parallelize an algorithm by applying data-parallel decomposition [Usage] • Write a program using actors and/or reactive processes [Usage]
Readings: Pacheco (2011), Matloff (2014), Quinn (2003)	

UNIT 4: Communication and Coordination (18)**Competences:****Content****Generales Goals**

- Shared Memory
- Consistency, and its role in programming language guarantees for data-race-free programs
- Message passing
 - Point-to-point versus multicast (or event-based) messages
 - Blocking versus non-blocking styles for sending and receiving messages
 - Message buffering (cross-reference PF/Fundamental Data Structures/Queues)
- Atomicity
 - Specifying and testing atomicity and safety requirements
 - Granularity of atomic accesses and updates, and the use of constructs such as critical sections or transactions to describe them
 - Mutual Exclusion using locks, semaphores, monitors, or related constructs
 - * Potential for liveness failures and deadlock (causes, conditions, prevention)
 - Composition
 - * Composing larger granularity atomic actions using synchronization
 - * Transactions, including optimistic and conservative approaches
- Consensus
 - (Cyclic) barriers, counters, or related constructs
- Conditional actions
 - Conditional waiting (e.g., using condition variables)

- Use mutual exclusion to avoid a given race condition [Usage]
- Give an example of an ordering of accesses among concurrent activities (eg, program with a data race) that is not sequentially consistent [Familiarity]
- Give an example of a scenario in which blocking message sends can deadlock [Usage]
- Explain when and why multicast or event-based messaging can be preferable to alternatives [Familiarity]
- Write a program that correctly terminates when all of a set of concurrent tasks have completed [Usage]
- Give an example of a scenario in which an attempted optimistic update may never complete [Familiarity]
- Use semaphores or condition variables to block threads until a necessary precondition holds [Usage]

Readings: Pacheco (2011), Matloff (2014), Quinn (2003)

UNIT 5: Parallel Algorithms, Analysis, and Programming (18)**Competences:****Content**

- Critical paths, work and span, and the relation to Amdahl's law
- Speed-up and scalability
- Naturally (embarrassingly) parallel algorithms
- Parallel algorithmic patterns (divide-and-conquer, map and reduce, master-workers, others)
 - Specific algorithms (e.g., parallel MergeSort)
- Parallel graph algorithms (e.g., parallel shortest path, parallel spanning tree) (cross-reference AL/Algorithmic Strategies/Divide-and-conquer)
- Parallel matrix computations
- Producer-consumer and pipelined algorithms
- Examples of non-scalable parallel algorithms

Generales Goals

- Define “critical path”, “work”, and “span” [Familiarity]
- Compute the work and span, and determine the critical path with respect to a parallel execution diagram [Usage]
- Define “speed-up” and explain the notion of an algorithm's scalability in this regard [Familiarity]
- Identify independent tasks in a program that may be parallelized [Usage]
- Characterize features of a workload that allow or prevent it from being naturally parallelized [Familiarity]
- Implement a parallel divide-and-conquer (and/or graph algorithm) and empirically measure its performance relative to its sequential analog [Usage]
- Decompose a problem (eg, counting the number of occurrences of some word in a document) via map and reduce operations [Usage]
- Provide an example of a problem that fits the producer-consumer paradigm [Usage]
- Give examples of problems where pipelining would be an effective means of parallelization [Usage]
- Implement a parallel matrix algorithm [Usage]
- Identify issues that arise in producer-consumer algorithms and mechanisms that may be used for addressing them [Usage]

Readings: Matloff (2014), Quinn (2003)

UNIT 6: Parallel Performance (18)	
Competences:	
Content	Generales Goals
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Load balancing • Performance measurement • Scheduling and contention (cross-reference OS/Scheduling and Dispatch) • Evaluating communication overhead • Data management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Non-uniform communication costs due to proximity (cross-reference SF/Proximity) – Cache effects (e.g., false sharing) – Maintaining spatial locality • Power usage and management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detect and correct a load imbalance [Usage] • Calculate the implications of Amdahl's law for a particular parallel algorithm (cross-reference SF/Evaluation for Amdahl's Law) [Usage] • Describe how data distribution/layout can affect an algorithm's communication costs [Familiarity] • Detect and correct an instance of false sharing [Usage] • Explain the impact of scheduling on parallel performance [Familiarity] • Explain performance impacts of data locality [Familiarity] • Explain the impact and trade-off related to power usage on parallel performance [Familiarity]
Readings: Pacheco (2011), Matloff (2014), Kirk and Hwu (2013), Sanders and Kandrot (2010)	

8. Methodology
<p>El profesor del curso presentará clases teóricas de los temas señalados en el programa propiciando la intervención de los alumnos.</p> <p>El profesor del curso presentará demostraciones para fundamentar clases teóricas.</p> <p>El profesor y los alumnos realizarán prácticas</p> <p>Los alumnos deberán asistir a clase habiendo leído lo que el profesor va a presentar. De esta manera se facilitará la comprensión y los estudiantes estarán en mejores condiciones de hacer consultas en clase.</p>

9. Assessment
<p>Continuous Assessment 1 : 20 %</p> <p>Partial Exam : 30 %</p> <p>Continuous Assessment 2 : 20 %</p> <p>Final exam : 30 %</p>

References

- Kirk, David B. and Wen-mei W. Hwu (2013). *Programming Massively Parallel Processors: A Hands-on Approach*. 2nd. Morgan Kaufmann. ISBN: 978-0-12-415992-1.
- Matloff, Norm (2014). *Programming on Parallel Machines*. University of California, Davis.
- Pacheco, Peter S. (2011). *An Introduction to Parallel Programming*. 1st. Morgan Kaufmann. ISBN: 978-0-12-374260-5.
- Quinn, Michael J. (2003). *Parallel Programming in C with MPI and OpenMP*. 1st. McGraw-Hill Education Group. ISBN: 0071232656.
- Sanders, Jason and Edward Kandrot (2010). *CUDA by Example: An Introduction to General-Purpose GPU Programming*. 1st. Addison-Wesley Professional. ISBN: 0131387685, 9780131387683.